



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,659	03/25/2004	George C. Schedivy	800A-80 CIP IV	6103
22150 7590 09/22/2008 F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797				
EXAMINER				
CHEVALIER, ROBERT				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2621				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/22/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/808,659

**Applicant(s)**

SCHEIDIVY, GEORGE C.

**Examiner**

ROBERT CHEVALIER

**Art Unit**

2621

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sandlers et al (2006/0109388).

Sandlers et al discloses a portable video system that shows all the limitations recited in claims 1, 26, including the feature of the docking station having a connector for electrically coupling the docking station to the removable video system (See the docking station with a connector arranged in a manner to connect a removable video system as shown in Sandlers et al's Figure 9), the feature of the port for connecting the media source to the docking station wherein data from the media source is provided to the docking station via the port and to the removable video system via the connector as specified in claims 1, and 26. (See the port 122 wherein a media source can be connected to the docking station and wherein data can be provided from the source to

the docking station and to the removable video system as shown in Sandlers et al's Figure 9, and page 4, paragraph [0059]).

With regard to claims 2, 31, the feature of the port being one of a universal serial bus port, an audio input port, a video input port and an audio/video input port as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 9, components 120, and 122).

With regard to claims 3, 8, 30, the feature of the data includes one of audio data and video data as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 9, and page 4, paragraph [0059]).

With regard to claims 4, 29, 32, the feature of the media source being one of MPEG player, a card reader, a DVD player, a CD-ROM player, a video game player, a videocassette player, and a digital video recorder as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 9, and page 4, paragraph [0059]).

With regard to claims 5-6, the feature of docking station receiving a media storage medium and the data from the storage medium being provided to the removable video system via the connector as specified thereof is noted to be a present characteristic of Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 9, component 122, wherein it is disclosed that video data can be received and transmitted to the display 38 via the docking station 120).

With regard to claim 7, the feature of the media storage medium being one of a digital video disc, a compact disc, a video compact disc, a flash card, a secure digital card, a smart media card and memory stick card, as specified thereof would be in

Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 9, component 122, wherein it is disclosed that video data can be received and transmitted to the display 38 via the docking station 120).

With regard to claims 9, and 33, the feature of the television tuner receiving television program and providing the same to the video system as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See the tuner shown in Sandlers et al's Figure 9, and page 4, paragraph [0059]).

With regard to claims 10-12, 34, the feature of the docking station being mounted in an interior portion of a vehicle as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 10).

With regard to claims 13, 35, the feature of the docking station being free standing as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 9).

With regard to claim 14, the feature of the stationary portion and the swinging portion attached to the stationary portion, wherein the removable video system is secured to the swinging portion as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 10).

With regard to claims 15-18, 27, the feature of the speakers on the docking station and the capability of being connected to a battery, a power supply, as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 8a, and Figure 14, component 170, and the power hookups shown in paragraph [0059]).

With regard to claims 19-21, 36-37, the feature of the removable video system including a display for displaying data received from the docking station and the feature of the port for connecting a media source as specified in claims 19-21, and 37, and further, the feature of the video system being capable of operating when removed from the docking station as recited in claim 36, is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 9).

With regard to claims 22-25, 28, the feature of the removable video system including a media player being capable of playing media stored on a least one of a digital video disc, a compact disc, a video compact disc, a flash card, a secure digital card, a smart media card and memory stick card, as specified thereof is present in Sandlers et al. (See Sandlers et al's Figure 9).

4. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vitito (2006/0148575).

Vitito discloses a video system that shows all the limitations recited in claim 1, including the feature of the docking station having a connector for electrically coupling the docking station to the removable video system, the feature of the port for connecting the media source to the docking station wherein data from the media source is provided to the docking station via the port and to the removable video system via the connector as specified in claim 1. (See claim 1 of Vitito).

With regard to claims 2-3, 8, 30-31, the feature of the port being one of a universal serial bus port, an audio input port, a video input port and an audio/video input port as specified thereof is present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claim 2).

With regard to claims 4, 7, 29, 32, the feature of the media source being one of MPEG player, a card reader, a DVD player, a CD-ROM player, a video game player, a videocassette player, and a digital video recorder as specified thereof is present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claims 20, and 25).

With regard to claims 5-6, the feature of docking station receiving a media storage medium and the data from the storage medium being provided to the removable video system via the connector as specified thereof is noted to be a present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claim 25).

With regard to claims 9, and 33, the feature of the television tuner receiving television program and providing the same to the video system as specified thereof is present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claim 3).

With regard to claims 10-12, 14, 34, the feature of the docking station being mounted in an interior portion of a vehicle as specified thereof is present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claims 4-6).

With regard to claims 13, 35, the feature of the docking station being free standing as specified thereof is present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claim 7).

With regard to claims 15-18, 27, the feature of the speakers on the docking station and the capability of being connected to a battery, a power supply, as specified thereof is present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claims 8-10).

With regard to claims 19-21, 36-37, the feature of the removable video system including a display for displaying data received from the docking station and the feature of the port for connecting a media source as specified in claims 19-21, and 37, and

further, the feature of the video system being capable of operating when removed from the docking station as recited in claim 36, is present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claims 11-12).

With regard to claims 22-25, 28, the feature of the removable video system including a media player being capable of playing media stored on a least one of a digital video disc, a compact disc, a video compact disc, a flash card, a secure digital card, a smart media card and memory stick card, as specified thereof is present in Vitito. (See Vitito's claims 13-16, 19).

With regard to claim 26, Applicant's attention is directed to claim 17 of Vitito.

### ***Conclusion***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT CHEVALIER whose telephone number is (571)272-7374. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ROBERT CHEVALIER/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621  
September 16, 2008.